Jagiellonian University in Kraków
Institute of Environmental Sciences

## Dissertation advisor:

The diploma examination is scheduled on Head of the educational unit

DIPLOMA THESIS ASSESSMENT		
Author: Student identification number or code: Thesis title:		
Thesis title in Polish:		
Thesis advisor: Faculty/institute: Programme of study: Key words:		
Grade: Weighted average for closed questions: Sum of points from closed questions:		
1. Number of pages		
2. Number of figures		
3. Number of charts		
4. Number of tables		
5. Number of references		
	A. SUBSTANTIVE ASSESSMENT	
6. Summary (does it clearly	y and concisely convey the content of the work) [0-7 points]	
	ey of theoretical introduction, justification for choice of topic, clear formulation of ses in a broader context) [0-14 points]	
8. Methods (correct descrip	otion of the research methods used) [0-6 points]	
9. Results (full and transpa the statistical study) [0-15	rent documentation of research results in the text, figures, charts and tables, quality of points]	
	sions (critical analysis of results and ability to interpret them against the background of ty and correctness of conclusions) [0-17 points]	

11. References (proper selection of current / key literature sources, providing the source of significant statements

of

## **B. EDITORIAL EVALUATION**

- 12. Work layout, correctness of the table of contents and abbreviations used, quality of drawing up figures, charts and tables, presence of links in the text to figures, charts and tables [0-6 points]
- 13. Formal correctness of the manuscript: compliance of citations with the list of references, development of the bibliography according to the adopted convention, maintaining margins, line spacing and font size [0-6 points]
- 14. Writing style clarity, syntax, grammar and spelling of the text [0-6 points]

## C. EXPENDITURE AND MASTER / MASTER'S COMPETENCES

- 15. Invention and intellectual contribution in the development of the diploma thesis [0-6 points]
- 16. Ingenuity and initiative in laboratory or field work [0-6 points]
- 17. Engagement and diligence during the implementation of the thesis [0-6 points]
- 18. Written justification and comments of the master thesis Supervisor

Interpretation of the weighted average result (0-4.17: insufficient; 4.25-5: satisfactory; 5.08-5.84: satisfactory plus; 5.91-6.67: good; 6.75-7.5: good plus; 7.58-8.34: very good)		
	(Thesis advisor's signature electronically approved)	
(Place and date)		